

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Multicomponent integrable wave equations: I. Darboux-dressing transformation

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2007 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 961

(http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/40/5/007)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.147

The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 06:29

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

Multicomponent integrable wave equations: I. Darboux-dressing transformation

A Degasperis¹ and S Lombardo²

- ¹ Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma 'La Sapienza' and Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Roma, Rome, Italy
- ² Department of Mathematics, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

E-mail: antonio.degasperis@roma1.infn.it and sara@few.vu.nl

Received 25 October 2006, in final form 15 December 2006 Published 17 January 2007 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/40/961

Abstract

The Darboux-dressing transformations are applied to the Lax pair associated with systems of coupled nonlinear wave equations in the case of boundary values which are appropriate to both 'bright' and 'dark' soliton solutions. The general formalism is set up and the relevant equations are explicitly solved. Several instances of multicomponent wave equations of applicative interest, such as vector nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations and three resonant wave equations, are considered.

PACS numbers: 02.30Ik, 02.30Jr

1. Introduction

The theory of solitons originated a long time ago with the discovery of the integrability of the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation and with the method of the spectral transform to solve it [1]. Many other soliton equations, with various degrees of novel mathematical features and applicative interests (see, for instance, [2] and [3]), were then found by extending and generalizing the method of the spectral transform. Among these integrable equations, the most notable one is the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation (subscripted variables denote partial differentiation and x and t are the independent variables):

$$u_t = i\gamma (u_{xx} - 2s|u|^2 u), \qquad u = u(x, t), \qquad s = \pm 1,$$
 (1)

where γ is the real dispersion parameter. The great importance of this equation is due to its integrability [4] and universality [5] which are related consequences of a perturbative multiscale analysis of (a large class of) dispersive nonlinear wave equations (see also [6]).

The key property of integrable evolution partial differential equations (PDEs) is that they express the condition that two linear differential equations (Lax pair), both for the same unknown function and whose coefficients depend also on a complex (spectral) parameter, are

compatible with each other. The compatibility of these two equations is a local condition and it provides local properties of the associated integrable PDE, in particular local conservation laws (continuity equations) and Hamiltonian structures. The construction of solutions of integrable nonlinear evolution PDEs is, however, a different matter. In fact, in addition to the initial value, one has to specify the domain of the space variable x together with the values which the solution (and/or its x-derivatives) takes on the domain boundary. Once the (appropriate) boundary values are fixed, then one should make use of the Lax pair to solve the Cauchy initial value problem or, more modestly, to construct special solutions. In both cases, various methods of solution have been devised depending on the boundary conditions imposed on the solution, and several problems, for certain initial-boundary values, remain open.

In this paper we give the explicit construction of special solutions based on Darbouxdressing transformations by means of algebraic and local operations only, with no need to refer to specific boundary values. The construction of soliton solutions, with their appropriate boundary conditions, will be considered in a subsequent paper for the case in which the space domain is the entire real axis, $-\infty < x < +\infty$. Historically, the initial-value problem for the KdV equation in this space domain with vanishing values at the boundary, $x = \pm \infty$, was the first to be solved. Then, by the same spectral technique but applied to a different Lax pair, Zakharov and Shabat were able to solve the initial-value problem for the NLS equation (1) on the entire real x-axis and for both vanishing [4] and nonvanishing [7] values at the boundary $x = \pm \infty$. The possibility of solving these two distinctive cases turned out to be quite relevant in nonlinear optics where the soliton behaviour in both cases has been observed. If the boundary values are vanishing, soliton solutions of (1) exist for s = -1, while if the boundary values are nonvanishing (and appropriately prescribed) stable soliton solutions of (1) exist for s = +1, while for s = -1 solitons exist but are unstable against small perturbations [8]. Solitons are usually referred to as 'bright' solitons in the first case (vanishing boundary values) as they are light-pulses in a dark background, and as 'dark' solitons in the second case (non vanishing boundary values) as they are pulses of darkness in a light background. In the latter case a further distinction is made between 'black' and 'grey' solitons. For a guide to the vast literature on solitons, see, for instance, [9].

The propagation of pulses in nonlinear media is more generally modelled by multicomponent fields, and this requires generalization of the NLS equation (1) to a system of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations. The coupling between the field components, which may represent different polarization amplitudes or fields with different (resonating) frequencies, as dictated by physical contexts, may or may not lead to integrable nonlinear equations. Though physical models may have terms which make the propagation equation nonintegrable, still the investigation of sufficiently close integrable equations, because of our good analytical control of them, is certainly worth and valuable also in an applicative context. Integrable systems of coupled NLS equations, termed vector nonlinear Schrödinger (VNLS) equations as the dependent variable $\mathbf{u}(x,t)$ is a D-dimensional vector, $\mathbf{u}=(u^{(1)},\ldots,u^{(D)})$, are known with various coupling terms. For instance, for D=2 the system

$$u_t^{(1)} = i\gamma \left[u_{xx}^{(1)} - 2s(|u^{(1)}|^2 + |u^{(2)}|^2)u^{(1)} \right],$$

$$u_t^{(2)} = i\gamma \left[u_{xx}^{(2)} - 2s(|u^{(1)}|^2 + |u^{(2)}|^2)u^{(2)} \right], \qquad s = \pm 1,$$
(2)

was first introduced by Manakov [10]. Similarly to the scalar NLS equation (1), also this system possesses bright solitons (i.e. with vanishing boundary values) for s = -1 and (stable) dark solitons (i.e. with nonvanishing boundary values) for s = +1. This system (2) remains

integrable even if generalized to

$$u_t^{(1)} = i\gamma \left[u_{xx}^{(1)} - 2(s_1|u^{(1)}|^2 + s_2|u^{(2)}|^2)u^{(1)} \right],$$

$$u_t^{(2)} = i\gamma \left[u_{xx}^{(2)} - 2(s_1|u^{(1)}|^2 + s_2|u^{(2)}|^2)u^{(2)} \right], \qquad s_j = \pm 1, \quad j = 1, 2.$$
(3)

In fact, only three systems have been found integrable for two NLS equations coupled by square modulo terms of this form, namely equation (3) with $s_1 = s_2 = \pm 1$ and $s_1 = -s_2 = 1$ [11].

Different ways of coupling two or more Schrödinger equations in a nonlinear way which preserves integrability have been discovered. Some of them are included in the class of wave equations considered here and are displayed in section 2. Additional systems of wave equations which do not posses the Schrödinger-type second order dispersion term since they are only first order in the *x*-derivatives, and therefore they may or may not model dispersive waves, are also treated here. The most notable example of such systems is the one describing the coupling of three waves at resonance (see section 2), a model which applies to several physical contexts such as optics, fluid dynamics and plasma physics.

All systems of coupled partial differential equations (PDEs) discussed in this paper are particular reductions of a general matrix evolution equation, namely the matrix partial differential equation (4). The integrability of this 'mother' equation, which is guaranteed by the associated Lax pair, entails the possibility of solving the initial value problem by the spectral transform (or inverse scattering) method. However, the task of setting up the formalism and finding out the relevant integral equation has not been carried out completely. Indeed, this task is certainly easier if one assumes that the solution vanishes at the boundary, while in the case of nonvanishing boundary values it is rather involved because of the many branch points which occur in the complex plane of the spectral variable. Even in the case of the D-dimensional VNLS (20), which is a simple reduction of the system (4), the spectral method in the case of nonvanishing boundary values, well understood for the scalar NLS equation (1) [12], has not been taken to a state which is amenable to easy access in applications (the case D=2 has been recently considered in [13] and partial results have been obtained in [14]). On the other hand, in the VNLS case, the dark vector soliton phenomenology has attracted considerable attention among nonlinear optics scholars, and explicit soliton expressions have been derived by direct methods (for instance, the Hirota method) [15–18], rather than by using the Lax pair.

The aim of this paper is to provide a general method of explicit construction of soliton solutions of the mother equation (4), and therefore of all the various wave propagation equations which obtain from it by reductions. This method makes use of the Lax pair and goes via the Darboux-dressing transformations (DDT) [19–22] in a standard way; see also [23]. However, the nonvanishing of the boundary values at infinity in the variable *x* introduces novel features with respect to the more usual context of vanishing boundary values. In fact, the novelty here regards more the case of dark solitons, rather than that of bright solitons. The difference between these two classes of solitons has its counterpart in the different position of the pole introduced by the DDT in the complex plane of the spectral variable. Thus we treat here both the cases in which this pole lies on the real axis and off the real axis.

In section 2 we introduce the general formalism and display some of the reduced equations which we deem representative of potential applicative models. Some of these wave equations follow from a generalization which has been recently introduced in [24, 25] with the purpose of modelling solitons which behave as *boomerons* (or *trappons*) (see also [26, 27]). Section 3 is devoted to the DDT technique in its general setting. Section 3.1 deals with DDTs characterized by a complex (non-real) pole, while section 3.2 deals with DDTs with a real pole, these two cases being appropriate to cope with different boundary values. In section 4 we add comments

and remarks. As a final comment, and as is apparent from its title, the present paper is the first of two. The important application of the DDT formulae introduced here to compute explicit solutions, together with a discussion of their behaviour, is not reported here and it will be the content of a following paper [28].

2. General formalism and multicomponent wave equations

All systems of coupled PDEs considered in this paper are special (reduced) cases of the following matrix PDE:

$$Q_{t} = [C^{(0)}, Q] + \sigma[C^{(1)}, Q_{x}] - \sigma\{Q, W\} - i\gamma\sigma(Q_{xx} - 2Q^{3}),$$

$$W_{x} = [C^{(1)}, Q^{2}],$$
(4)

where the dependent variables Q = Q(x, t) and W = W(x, t) are $(N^{(+)} + N^{(-)}) \times (N^{(+)} + N^{(-)})$ block matrices of the form

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{N^{(+)} \times N^{(+)}} & Q^{(+)} \\ Q^{(-)} & \mathbf{0}_{N^{(-)} \times N^{(-)}} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad W = \begin{pmatrix} W^{(+)} & \mathbf{0}_{N^{(+)} \times N^{(-)}} \\ \mathbf{0}_{N^{(-)} \times N^{(+)}} & W^{(-)} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(5)

In self-evident notation, the diagonal entries $W^{(+)}$, $W^{(-)}$ are square matrices of dimension $N^{(+)} \times N^{(+)}$ and $N^{(-)} \times N^{(-)}$, while the two off-diagonal rectangular blocks $Q^{(+)}$, respectively $Q^{(-)}$, are $N^{(+)} \times N^{(-)}$, respectively $N^{(-)} \times N^{(+)}$, matrices, $N^{(+)}$ and $N^{(-)}$ being arbitrary, positive integers. Here, and in the following, the constant diagonal matrix σ in (4) is, in self-evident notation,

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{N^{(+)} \times N^{(+)}} & \mathbf{0}_{N^{(+)} \times N^{(-)}} \\ \mathbf{0}_{N^{(-)} \times N^{(+)}} & -\mathbf{1}_{N^{(-)} \times N^{(-)}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

while $C^{(0)}$, $C^{(1)}$ are arbitrary constant block-diagonal matrices,

$$C^{(j)} = \begin{pmatrix} C^{(j)(+)} & \mathbf{0}_{N^{(+)} \times N^{(-)}} \\ \mathbf{0}_{N^{(-)} \times N^{(+)}} & C^{(j)(-)} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad j = 0, 1,$$
 (7)

and the block-diagonal matrix W=W(x,t) is an auxiliary dependent variable. As usual [A,B] and $\{A,B\}$ are the commutator AB-BA and, respectively, the anticommutator AB+BA. The constant coefficient γ is the real dispersion parameter, $\gamma=\gamma^*$. The systems of coupled NLS equations which are obtained by reduction of equation (4) have been considered in [24], the special case with $\gamma=0$ having been extensively reported in [25, 26], where it is shown that their single soliton solutions feature boomeronic and trapponic behaviours. It is worth noticing that setting $C^{(1)}=c\sigma$, and therefore W=0, the linear terms $[C^{(0)},Q]$ and $\sigma[C^{(1)},Q_x]$ can be both transformed away by the obvious transformation $Q(x,t)\to \hat{Q}(x,t)=\exp(-C^{(0)}t)Q(x-2ct,t)\exp(C^{(0)}t)$ and one obtains the matrix evolution equation

$$Q_t = -i\gamma\sigma(Q_{xx} - 2Q^3),\tag{8}$$

that is, the standard matrix version of the NLS type equation. However, whenever $C^{(1)}$ is a generic full block-diagonal matrix (see (7)), equation (4) is the most general second-order differential equation which genuinely generalizes the standard equation (8). Indeed, it allows us to treat the somewhat simpler class of evolution equations corresponding to the choice $\gamma = 0$, the canonical form of which only features first derivatives with respect to the space variable x (rather than second derivatives as is the case of NLS-type equations). The simplest system of equations of this type can in fact be reformulated so as to coincide with the standard equations describing the resonant interaction of three waves (see below) with the remarkable consequence that its solutions may feature the boomeronic or trapponic behaviour, as recently reported in [26, 27].

2.1. Lax pair and reductions

The matrix equation (4) is the compatibility condition for the Lax pair

$$\psi_{X} = X\psi, \qquad \psi_{t} = T\psi, \tag{9}$$

where ψ , X and T are $(N^{(+)} + N^{(-)}) \times (N^{(+)} + N^{(-)})$ square matrices, $\psi = \psi(x, t, k)$ being a common solution of the two linear ordinary differential matrix equations (9) while X = X(x, t, k) and T = T(x, t, k) depend on the coordinate x, the time t and the complex spectral parameter k according to the definitions

$$X(x,t,k) = ik\sigma + Q(x,t), \tag{10a}$$

$$T(x,t,k) = 2\gamma k[ik\sigma + Q(x,t)] + 2ikC^{(1)} + i\gamma\sigma[Q^{2}(x,t) - Q_{x}(x,t)] - \sigma W(x,t)$$

+ $\sigma[C^{(1)}, Q(x,t)] + C^{(0)}.$ (10b)

In order to simplify the notation, from now on (and as already done in the previous formula) we do not specify the dimension of the matrices **0** and **1**, and we may even omit to write the matrix **1** altogether, as we trust the reader will not be confused by this omission.

Let us now consider the condition

$$Q^{\dagger}(x,t) = SQ(x,t)S \tag{11}$$

on the solution Q(x, t) of the matrix evolution equation (4) where the dagger stands for Hermitian conjugation. The constant matrix S is block diagonal,

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S^{(+)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & S^{(-)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

Its off-diagonal blocks are vanishing rectangular matrices while its diagonal blocks $S^{(+)}$ and $S^{(-)}$ are, respectively, $N^{(+)} \times N^{(+)}$ and $N^{(-)} \times N^{(-)}$ diagonal matrices whose diagonal elements $S_n^{(\pm)}$, with no loss of generality, are signs, namely

$$S^{(\pm)} = \operatorname{diag}(s_1^{(\pm)}, \dots, s_{N^{(\pm)}}^{(\pm)}), \qquad s_n^{(\pm)^2} = 1.$$
(13)

This, of course, implies the relations $S^2 = 1$, $S^{(+)^2} = 1$. $S^{(-)^2} = 1$.

The reduction equation (11) is well motivated by the fact that it captures several interesting models of dispersive propagation of multicomponent waves in weakly nonlinear media. In order to support this claim, we display some of such model equations in the next subsection. To this aim it is convenient to rewrite the matrix PDEs (4) in terms of the blocks $Q^{(+)}$, $Q^{(-)}$, $W^{(+)}$ and $W^{(-)}$; see (5). These read

$$Q_{t}^{(\pm)} = C^{(0)(\pm)}Q^{(\pm)} - Q^{(\pm)}C^{(0)(\mp)} \pm \left[C^{(1)(\pm)}Q_{x}^{(\pm)} - Q_{x}^{(\pm)}C^{(1)(\mp)}\right] \mp \left[W^{(\pm)}Q^{(\pm)} + Q^{(\pm)}W^{(\mp)}\right] \mp i\gamma \left[Q_{xx}^{(\pm)} - 2Q^{(\pm)}Q^{(\mp)}Q^{(\pm)}\right],$$
(14a)

$$W_r^{(\pm)} = [C^{(1)(\pm)}, Q^{(\pm)}Q^{(\mp)}], \tag{14b}$$

where $C^{(j)(+)}$, respectively $C^{(j)(-)}$, are the $N^{(+)} \times N^{(+)}$, respectively $N^{(-)} \times N^{(-)}$, constant square matrix blocks of $C^{(j)}$; see (7). The reduction condition (11) is accounted for by introducing the dependent variable U(x,t) through the definitions

$$Q^{(-)}(x,t) = U(x,t), Q^{(+)}(x,t) = S^{(+)}U^{\dagger}(x,t)S^{(-)}. (15)$$

Since the constant γ is real, these expressions of $Q^{(+)}$ and $Q^{(-)}$ in terms of the single variable U(x,t) are compatible with equations (14a)–(14b) which then reduce to

$$U_{t} = C^{(0)(-)}U - UC^{(0)(+)} - [C^{(1)(-)}U_{x} - U_{x}C^{(1)(+)}] + [W^{(-)}U + UW^{(+)}] + i\gamma[U_{xx} - 2US^{(+)}U^{\dagger}S^{(-)}U],$$
(16a)

$$W_x^{(+)} = [C^{(1)(+)}, S^{(+)}U^{\dagger}S^{(-)}U], \tag{16b}$$

$$W_r^{(-)} = [C^{(1)(-)}, US^{(+)}U^{\dagger}S^{(-)}]. \tag{16c}$$

Here U is an $N^{(-)} \times N^{(+)}$ rectangular matrix (see (15) and (5)), while the auxiliary variables $W^{(\pm)}(x,t)$ are square matrices, respectively, $W^{(+)}$ is an $N^{(+)} \times N^{(+)}$ matrix and $W^{(-)}$ is an $N^{(-)} \times N^{(-)}$ matrix, and it is easily seen that they satisfy the 'Hermitianity' conditions

$$W^{(+)} = -S^{(+)}W^{(+)\dagger}S^{(+)}, \qquad W^{(-)} = -S^{(-)}W^{(-)\dagger}S^{(-)}, \tag{17}$$

that is

$$W^{\dagger}(x,t) = -SW(x,t)S. \tag{18}$$

Similarly, the constant matrices $C^{(j)(\pm)}$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$C^{(j)(\pm)} = -(-)^{j} S^{(\pm)} C^{(j)(\pm)\dagger} S^{(\pm)}, \qquad j = 0, 1.$$
(19)

As for the 'sign' matrices $S^{(+)}$ and $S^{(-)}$ (see (13)), we note that one could set, for instance, $s_1^{(+)} = 1$ with no loss of generality, but we prefer to keep the symmetrical, though redundant, notation (13).

2.2. Multicomponent wave equations: cases of interest

We observe that the general matrix nonlinear evolution equations (16a) may well specialize itself to quite a large family of coupled wave equations by playing with various choices of the integers $N^{(+)}$ and $N^{(-)}$, and of the constant matrix coefficients $C^{(j)(+)}$ and $C^{(j)(-)}$. This exercise is certainly worth doing since it happens that among this family of wave equations there are some which look interesting models in different applicative contexts. With this purpose in mind, and before proceeding further on our general setting, we briefly list here a few examples of model PDEs which we deem of interest in applications (for further details, see also [24, 25]).

Let us begin with choosing $N^{(+)}=1$ and $N^{(-)}=D$, $C^{(j)(\pm)}=0$ and let us set $S^{(+)}=1$ and $S^{(-)}=\hat{S}=\operatorname{diag}(s_1,\ldots,s_D)$ with $s_n^2=1$. In this case the dependent variable U(x,t) is a (column) D-dimensional vector $\mathbf{u}(x,t)=(u^{(1)}(x,t),\ldots,u^{(D)}(x,t))$ and the resulting VNLS equation,

$$\mathbf{u}_{t} = i\gamma \left[\mathbf{u}_{xx} - 2 \left(\sum_{n=1}^{D} s_{n} |u^{(n)}|^{2} \right) \mathbf{u} \right] = i\gamma (\mathbf{u}_{xx} - 2\langle \mathbf{u}, \hat{S}\mathbf{u} \rangle \mathbf{u}), \tag{20}$$

is a simple generalization of the D=2 case (3). Here and hereafter we adopt the bracket notation to indicate the (nonsymmetrical) scalar product of two vectors, namely

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{D} u^{(n)*} v^{(n)}. \tag{21}$$

This system of NLS equations can be further generalized by adding coupling terms which originate from nonvanishing coefficients $C^{(j)(\pm)}$. A simple instance of such generalization

obtains for $N^{(+)} = 1$ and $N^{(-)} = 2$, together with $S^{(+)} = 1$ and $S^{(-)} = \text{diag}(s_1, s_2)$, where $s_{1,2}^2 = 1$. Here the choice of the matrix coefficients is

$$C^{(0)(+)} = C^{(1)(+)} = 0,$$
 $C^{(0)(-)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & s_1 a \\ -s_2 a^* & 0 \end{pmatrix},$ $C^{(1)(-)} = \begin{pmatrix} -b & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix},$ (22)

while the dependent variables are the two components $(u^{(1)}(x,t),u^{(2)}(x,t))$ of the two-dimensional (column) vector U and the function w(x,t) is defined through the off-diagonal matrix $W^{(-)}$,

$$W^{(-)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -s_1 w \\ s_2 w^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{23}$$

while $W^{(+)} = 0$. With these specifications the VNLS equations read

$$u_{t}^{(1)} = s_{1}au^{(2)} + bu_{x}^{(1)} - s_{1}wu^{(2)} + i\gamma \left[u_{xx}^{(1)} - 2(s_{1}|u^{(1)}|^{2} + s_{2}|u^{(2)}|^{2})u^{(1)}\right],$$

$$u_{t}^{(2)} = -s_{2}a^{*}u^{(1)} - bu_{x}^{(2)} + s_{2}w^{*}u^{(1)} + i\gamma \left[u_{xx}^{(2)} - 2(s_{1}|u^{(1)}|^{2} + s_{2}|u^{(2)}|^{2})u^{(2)}\right],$$

$$w_{x} = 2bs_{1}s_{2}u^{(1)}u^{(2)*},$$
(24)

where a is an arbitrary complex coefficient and b is an arbitrary real coefficient. We note that this system results from a mixing of Schrödinger-type dispersion and quadratic nonlinearity as it occurs in the 3-wave resonant interaction. This extension is simple and it might look trivial; however, because of the noncommutative character of the matrix coefficients $C^{(0)(-)}$ and $C^{(1)(-)}$, which entails the introduction of the new auxiliary dependent variable w(x,t), it introduces the interesting phenomenology of boomerons, i.e., solitons which have different asymptotic velocities at $t=+\infty$ and $t=-\infty$. These special soliton solutions were first introduced a long time ago in [29] (see also [30]) in connection with the hierarchy of the matrix Korteweg-de Vries equation, but only recently boomerons appeared again in geometry [31] and optics [27, 32].

Let us consider next $N^{(+)}=2$, $N^{(-)}=D$, $C^{(j)(\pm)}=0$, $S^{(+)}=\mathrm{diag}(s_1^{(+)},s_2^{(+)})$ and $S^{(-)}=\mathrm{diag}(s_1^{(-)},\ldots,s_D^{(-)})$. In this case, the matrix U has two columns, namely two D-dimensional vectors, \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} . They satisfy the following coupled VNLS equations:

$$\mathbf{u}_{t} = i\gamma \left(\mathbf{u}_{xx} - 2s_{1}^{(+)}\langle \mathbf{u}, S^{(-)}\mathbf{u}\rangle \mathbf{u} - 2s_{2}^{(+)}\langle \mathbf{v}, S^{(-)}\mathbf{u}\rangle \mathbf{v}\right),$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{t} = i\gamma \left(\mathbf{v}_{xx} - 2s_{1}^{(+)}\langle \mathbf{u}, S^{(-)}\mathbf{v}\rangle \mathbf{u} - 2s_{2}^{(+)}\langle \mathbf{v}, S^{(-)}\mathbf{v}\rangle \mathbf{v}\right).$$
(25)

This system may of course be rewritten as one equation for a 2D-dimensional vector. However, this vector equation would be different from the equation which obtains in the case $N^{(+)} = 1$, $N^{(-)} = 2D$. Of course, similarly to the previous case, also this system can be generalized so as to feature boomeronic-type effects.

Consider now the subcase D=2, namely $N^{(+)}=N^{(-)}=2$. The matrix U is 2×2 square and therefore it can be given a different representation by using Pauli matrices:

$$U = iu^{(4)} \mathbf{1} + u^{(1)} \sigma_1 + u^{(2)} \sigma_2 + u^{(3)} \sigma_3, \tag{26}$$

with this parametrization of U the four dependent scalar variables are the components $u^{(j)}$, $j=1,\ldots,4$, of the four-dimensional vector $\vec{u}=(u^{(1)},u^{(2)},u^{(3)},u^{(4)})$. However, the resulting system of evolution equations for these fields takes a vector covariant form by specializing the 'sign' matrices to $S^{(+)}=1$, $S^{(-)}=s1$ with $s^2=1$. In this case, the evolution equation for the four-dimensional complex vector \vec{u} has been first introduced in [24], and reads, in self-evident notation,

$$\vec{u}_t = i\gamma \{ \vec{u}_{xx} - 2s[2(\vec{u}^* \cdot \vec{u})\vec{u} - (\vec{u} \cdot \vec{u})\vec{u}^*] \}. \tag{27}$$

Let us note that, via the transformation $u^{(j)} \to u^{(j)} \exp(i\phi_j)$ with ϕ_j four arbitrary real constants, this system (27) of four coupled NLS equations takes the form

$$u_t^{(k)} = i\gamma \left\{ u_{xx}^{(k)} - 2s \left[2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^4 |u^{(j)}|^2 \right) u^{(k)} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^4 \exp(i\delta_{jk}) u^{(j)^2} \right) u^{(k)^*} \right] \right\}, \tag{28}$$

where the real constants $\delta_{jk} = \delta_{jk}^* = -\delta_{kj}$, with $\delta_{jk} = 2(\phi_j - \phi_k)$, are three arbitrary phases. We also note that further reductions of this system are obtained by merely letting one, two or three components of the 4-vector \vec{u} vanish. In fact, by setting, for instance, $u^{(4)} = 0$, the system (28) becomes a three-dimensional VNLS equation with two arbitrary phases, say δ_{21} and δ_{32} . Setting also $u^{(3)} = 0$ leads then to the two-dimensional case, which is

$$u_t^{(1)} = i\gamma \left\{ u_{xx}^{(1)} - 2s[(|u^{(1)}|^2 + 2|u^{(2)}|^2)u^{(1)} + \exp(i\delta)u^{(2)2}u^{(1)*}] \right\},$$

$$u_t^{(2)} = i\gamma \left\{ u_{xx}^{(2)} - 2s[(2|u^{(1)}|^2 + |u^{(2)}|^2)u^{(2)} + \exp(-i\delta)u^{(1)2}u^{(2)*}] \right\},$$
(29)

with only one arbitrary constant phase, $\delta_{21} = \delta$. This system has been first introduced in [33] with $\delta = 0$, while the two special cases with $\delta = 0$ and $\delta = \pi$ had been identified as the only integrable ones in the class of equations having the form written above, but with the two factors $\exp(i\delta)$ and $\exp(-i\delta)$ replaced by two *a priori* arbitrary real constants [34]. The case in which three components of \vec{u} are vanishing yields the scalar NLS equation (1). Larger systems of coupled nonlinear NLS equations are similarly obtained by starting with a bigger square matrix U(x,t). For instance, nine-dimensional VNLS equations result from expanding the 3×3 matrix U in the basis obtained by adding the unit matrix to the SU(3) group generators. Other ways of coupling NLS equations may be devised by different parametrizations of the rectangular matrix U.

Finally, let us note that the well-known 3-wave resonant interaction equation (in both its 'explosive' and 'non-explosive' versions) is a special case of our family of reduced equations as it merely coincides with the $a = \gamma = 0$ case of the system (24):

$$u_t^{(1)} - bu_x^{(1)} = -s_1 w u^{(2)},$$

$$u_t^{(2)} + bu_x^{(2)} = s_2 w^* u^{(1)},$$

$$w_x = 2b s_1 s_2 u^{(1)} u^{(2)*}.$$
(30)

Moreover, having its physical applications in mind, one should also redefine for this equation the two independent variables x and t rather as 'time' and, respectively, 'space', with the implication that the three characteristic group velocities are 1/b, -1/b and 0. Indeed, this observation has played a basic role in the discovery [26] of soliton solutions of the 3WRI equation such as boomerons, trappons, simultons [27] and pair creation.

3. The Darboux-dressing transformation

Let us now turn our attention to the method of construction of special solutions of the general system (16a)–(16c). We first note that the reduction conditions (11), (18), (19), together with expressions (10a) and (10b) of the matrices X(x, t, k) and T(x, t, k) in the two linear equations (Lax pair) (9), entail the following relations:

$$X^{\dagger}(k^*)\Sigma + \Sigma X(k) = 0, \qquad T^{\dagger}(k^*)\Sigma + \Sigma T(k) = 0, \tag{31}$$

with

$$\Sigma = \sigma S = \begin{pmatrix} S^{(+)} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -S^{(-)} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Sigma^2 = \mathbf{1}.$$
 (32)

As for the notation used in (31), we have omitted writing the dependence on the variables x and t and we maintain this omission in the following whenever it will cause no confusion. The property (31) allows one to express the reduction condition induced by (11), (18), (19) on the solution $\psi(k)$ of the two linear equations (9); this condition is given by the following equation:

$$\psi^{\dagger}(x,t,k^*)\Sigma\psi(x,t,k) = A(k,k^*),\tag{33}$$

where the matrix $A(k, k^*)$ is constant, namely x and t independent. Therefore, it is plain that the value of $A(k, k^*)$ depends only on the arbitrary value $\psi(x_0, t_0, k)$ that the solution ψ takes at a given point (x_0, t_0) of the (x, t) plane.

Consider now a second pair of matrices $Q^{(0)}(x,t)$ and $W^{(0)}(x,t)$, and assume that they have the same block structure of Q and W (see (5)), and satisfy the same reduction conditions (11), (18). Let $\psi^{(0)}(x,t,k)$ be a corresponding nonsingular (i.e. with nonvanishing determinant) matrix solution of (9),

$$\psi_{r}^{(0)} = X^{(0)}\psi^{(0)}, \qquad \psi_{t}^{(0)} = T^{(0)}\psi^{(0)}, \tag{34}$$

with $X^{(0)}(x,t,k)$ and $T^{(0)}(x,t,k)$ having expressions (10a) and (10b) with Q and W replaced by $Q^{(0)}$ and $W^{(0)}$. Assume also that the initial condition $\psi^{(0)}(x_0,t_0,k)$ is so chosen that the constant matrix $A^{(0)}(k,k^*)$, where of course (see (33))

$$A^{(0)}(k,k^*) = \psi^{(0)\dagger}(x,t,k^*) \Sigma \psi^{(0)}(x,t,k), \tag{35}$$

coincides with $A(k, k^*)$, i.e. $A^{(0)}(k, k^*) = A(k, k^*)$. Since both compatibility conditions, $\psi_{xt}^{(0)} = \psi_{tx}^{(0)}$ and $\psi_{xt} = \psi_{tx}$, are satisfied, $Q^{(0)}(x, t)$, $W^{(0)}(x, t)$ and Q(x, t), W(x, t) are two different solutions of the same matrix evolution equations (4), and therefore it follows that the matrix

$$D(x,t,k) = \psi(x,t,k)(\psi^{(0)}(x,t,k))^{-1}$$
(36)

satisfies the differential equations

$$D_x = XD - DX^{(0)}, D_t = TD - DT^{(0)}, (37)$$

together with the algebraic (reduction) equation

$$D^{\dagger}(k^*)\Sigma D(k) = \Sigma. \tag{38}$$

The proof of these propositions is straightforward.

Definition (36) can be viewed as a transformation of $\psi^{(0)}$ into ψ ,

$$\psi(x,t,k) = D(x,t,k)\psi^{(0)}(x,t,k),\tag{39}$$

which consequently yields a transformation of $Q^{(0)}$ and $W^{(0)}$ into, respectively, Q and W. Therefore, the dressing approach requires in the first place an explicit knowledge of $Q^{(0)}(x,t)$, $W^{(0)}(x,t)$ and $\psi^{(0)}(x,t,k)$. The next step is the construction of the transformation matrix D(x,t,k) via the integration of the ODEs (37). This task is however not straightforward since the coefficients X and T of these differential equations depend on the unknown matrices Q and W (see (10a) and (10b)). The way of solving this problem goes through the a priori assignment of the dependence of the transformation matrix D(x,t,k) on the spectral variable k.

In the following we will investigate the set of k-dependent matrices D(k) which (i) have a *rational* dependence on the complex variable k and (ii) have *nonvanishing* $k \to \infty$ limit. Moreover, if we consider a rational dependence on k which can be factorized as product of simple-pole terms, we need to deal only with matrices D(k) which take the following one-pole expression:

$$D(x, t, k) = 1 + \frac{R(x, t)}{k - \alpha},$$
(40)

where the matrix R(x,t) is the residue at the pole $k=\alpha$ and the value of D(k) in the $k\to\infty$ limit is taken to be the identity for the sake of simplicity. The transformation (39) characterized by the matrix (40) has received considerable attention in the literature [19–22] (see also [23]). We refer to it as Darboux-dressing transformation (DDT), and its existence in our setting is proved below by construction.

The way to obtain an explicit expression of the residue matrix R(x, t) depends on whether the pole α is off the real axis, $\alpha \neq \alpha^*$, or on the real axis, $\alpha = \alpha^*$. Therefore we treat these two cases separately.

3.1. The Darboux-dressing transformation: complex pole

Let us begin with considering the case in which α is not real, $\alpha \neq \alpha^*$, while the other case, α real, is discussed in section 3.2. The starting point is the requirement that the matrix D(x, t, k) (see (40)) satisfies the algebraic condition (38) and the differential equations (37). The algebraic condition entails the two (equivalent) equations

$$\Sigma R + \frac{R^{\dagger} \Sigma R}{\alpha - \alpha^*} = 0, \qquad R^{\dagger} \Sigma - \frac{R^{\dagger} \Sigma R}{\alpha - \alpha^*} = 0, \tag{41}$$

whose solution is

$$R(x,t) = (\alpha - \alpha^*)P(x,t), \tag{42}$$

where the matrix P(x, t) is a projector with the 'Hermitianity' condition

$$P^2 = P, \qquad P^{\dagger} = \Sigma P \Sigma. \tag{43}$$

As for the differential equations (37), replacing D(x, t, k) with its expression (40) (and (42)) yields the algebraic relations

$$Q = Q^{(0)} - i(\alpha - \alpha^*)[\sigma, P], \tag{44a}$$

$$W = W^{(0)} + i(\alpha - \alpha^*)[C^{(1)}, \{\sigma, P\}], \tag{44b}$$

which give the 'dressed' matrices Q and W in terms of the 'bare' matrices $Q^{(0)}$, $W^{(0)}$ and the projector P, together with the two differential equations

$$P_x = X(\alpha)P - PX^{(0)}(\alpha), \qquad P_t = T(\alpha)P - PT^{(0)}(\alpha),$$
 (45)

whose integration goes as follows. Consider first the differential equation (45) with respect to the variable x and replace Q with its expression (44a). The resulting equation is then the following nonlinear equation:

$$P_{x} = X^{(0)}(\alpha)P - PX^{(0)}(\alpha) - i(\alpha - \alpha^{*})(\sigma P - P\sigma P).$$
(46)

Let z be an eigenvector of P, and differentiate with respect to x the eigenvalue equation

$$Pz = z. (47)$$

By replacing then P_x with the right-hand side of (46), one arrives at the equation

$$(1 - P)[z_x - X^{(0)}(\alpha^*)z] = 0, (48)$$

which implies that the vector $z_x - X^{(0)}(\alpha^*)z$ is in the subspace on which P projects. At this point we may well assume that this subspace is one-dimensional. Indeed, it is easy to prove that, if P projects on a subspace of higher dimension n > 1, then the corresponding matrix D is a product of as many matrices $D^{(j)}$ of the form (40) with (42),

$$D^{(j)}(x,t,k) = 1 + \frac{(\alpha - \alpha^*)P^{(j)}(x,t)}{k - \alpha}, \qquad j = 1, \dots, n,$$
(49)

as the dimension n of this subspace, all of course with the same pole in α , and all with $P^{(j)}$ projecting on a one-dimensional subspace. Therefore, with no loss of generality, we let P in the DDT matrix

$$D(x,t,k) = \mathbf{1} + \frac{(\alpha - \alpha^*)P(x,t)}{k - \alpha},\tag{50}$$

project on the one-dimensional subspace of the vector z, with the implication (see (48)) that the vector $z_x - X^{(0)}(\alpha^*)z$ is proportional to z. On the other hand, since the vector z is defined here modulo a scalar factor function, we may choose this factor in such a way that z satisfies the differential equation

$$z_{x} = X^{(0)}(\alpha^{*})z. {(51)}$$

The differential equation (45) with respect to the variable t can be treated in a similar way. The substitution of Q and W with their expressions (44a)–(44b) yields the nonlinear equation

$$P_{t} = T^{(0)}(\alpha)P - PT^{(0)}(\alpha) - 2\gamma(\alpha - \alpha^{*})(Q^{(0)}P - PQ^{(0)}P) - 2i\gamma(\alpha^{2} - \alpha^{*2})(\sigma P - P\sigma P) - 2i(\alpha - \alpha^{*})[C^{(1)}, P]P.$$
(52)

By differentiating now the eigenvalue equation (47) with respect to t and using both equations (47) and (52), one ends up with the equation

$$(1 - P)[z_t - T^{(0)}(\alpha^*)z] = 0, (53)$$

which, by the same arguments as above, implies that the vector z(x, t) satisfies the differential equation

$$z_t = T^{(0)}(\alpha^*)z. (54)$$

Once the two equations (51) and (54) are solved, the DDT transformation matrix D(x, t, k) is finally given by (50) with

$$P(x,t) = \frac{z(x,t)z^{\dagger}(x,t)\Sigma}{\langle z(x,t), \Sigma z(x,t)\rangle}.$$
 (55)

This expression is implied by the algebraic conditions (43). At this point we conclude that the method of construction of a novel solution Q(x, t), W(x, t) of the evolution equations (4), starting from the knowledge of given (*seed*) solution $Q^{(0)}(x, t)$, $W^{(0)}(x, t)$, is explicitly given by (44a)–(44b) with (55), where the vector z(x, t) is

$$z(x,t) = \psi^{(0)}(x,t,\alpha^*)z_0. \tag{56}$$

Here $\psi^{(0)}(x,t,\alpha^*)$ is the solution $\psi^{(0)}(x,t,k)$ of the differential equations (34) (Lax pair corresponding to $Q^{(0)}(x,t)$, $W^{(0)}(x,t)$), for $k=\alpha^*$, and it is assumed to be known, while z_0 is an arbitrary constant $(N^{(+)}+N^{(-)})$ -dimensional vector.

The construction of the novel solution Q(x,t), W(x,t) provides, via formulae (5) and reduction (15), the construction of the novel solution U(x,t), $W^{(\pm)}(x,t)$ of the matrix evolution equations (16a)–(16c) which is our main concern here. The relevant expressions are obtained by first writing the block structure of the $(N^{(+)} + N^{(-)}) \times (N^{(+)} + N^{(-)})$ projector matrix P(x,t)

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} B^{(+)} & -S^{(+)}BS^{(-)} \\ B & B^{(-)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{57}$$

which therefore entails, in self-evident notation and by using (44a)–(44b), the relations

$$U = U^{(0)} + 2i(\alpha - \alpha^*)B, \tag{58a}$$

$$W^{(+)} = W^{(0)(+)} + 2i(\alpha - \alpha^*)[C^{(1)(+)}, B^{(+)}], \tag{58b}$$

$$W^{(-)} = W^{(0)(-)} - 2i(\alpha - \alpha^*)[C^{(1)(-)}, B^{(-)}].$$
(58c)

In view of its use in future computations, we give to this formula a more explicit expression by using the form (55) of the projector P. To this aim it is convenient to split the vector z in two block column vectors, namely

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} z^{(+)} \\ z^{(-)} \end{pmatrix},\tag{59}$$

where the vectors $z^{(+)}$ and $z^{(-)}$ have dimension $N^{(+)}$ and, respectively, $N^{(-)}$. Then, by inserting this block form of z in the diadic expression of P, (55) (and recalling (32)), we arrive at the final relations

$$U = U^{(0)} + 2i(\alpha - \alpha^*) \frac{z^{(-)} z^{(+)\dagger} S^{(+)}}{\langle z^{(+)}, S^{(+)} z^{(+)} \rangle - \langle z^{(-)}, S^{(-)} z^{(-)} \rangle},$$
(60a)

$$W^{(+)} = W^{(0)(+)} + 2i(\alpha - \alpha^*) \frac{(C^{(1)(+)}z^{(+)}z^{(+)} + S^{(+)} - z^{(+)}z^{(+)} + S^{(+)}C^{(1)(+)})}{\langle z^{(+)}, S^{(+)}z^{(+)} \rangle - \langle z^{(-)}, S^{(-)}z^{(-)} \rangle},$$
(60b)

$$W^{(-)} = W^{(0)(-)} - 2i(\alpha - \alpha^*) \frac{(C^{(1)(-)}z^{(-)}z^{(-)} + S^{(-)} - z^{(-)}z^{(-)} + S^{(-)}C^{(1)(-)})}{\langle z^{(+)}, S^{(+)}z^{(+)} \rangle - \langle z^{(-)}, S^{(-)}z^{(-)} \rangle}.$$
 (60c)

3.2. The Darboux-dressing transformation: real pole

Let us now investigate the alternative case in which the pole of the Darboux-dressing matrix D(x, t, k) (see (36)) is real, $\alpha = \alpha^*$. The way to treat this case is the same as that one we followed in the previous case, but the resulting equations to be solved are indeed different. Thus we first ask that D(x, t, k), as given by the general expression (40), satisfies both the algebraic condition (38) and the differential equations (37). The algebraic condition implies two equations for the residue matrix R:

$$\Sigma R + R^{\dagger} \Sigma = 0, \qquad R^{\dagger} \Sigma R = 0. \tag{61}$$

These entail the following form of R,

$$R(x,t) = i\rho(x,t)\hat{P}(x,t)\Sigma, \tag{62}$$

together with the conditions that the scalar function $\rho(x, t)$ is real, the projector matrix \hat{P} is Hermitian,

$$\rho = \rho^*, \qquad \hat{P}^2 = \hat{P}, \qquad \hat{P} = \hat{P}^{\dagger}, \tag{63}$$

and it satisfies the equation

$$\hat{P}\,\Sigma\,\hat{P} = 0. \tag{64}$$

Therefore, in the present case, the transformation matrix (40) reads

$$D(x,t) = \mathbf{1} + i\rho(x,t)\frac{\hat{P}(x,t)\Sigma}{k-\alpha}.$$
(65)

By the same arguments we have used in the previous case, one can show that \hat{P} may be assumed, with no loss of generality, to project on a one-dimensional subspace, namely

$$\hat{P} = \frac{\hat{z}\hat{z}^{\dagger}}{\langle \hat{z}, \hat{z} \rangle},\tag{66}$$

where the vector $\hat{z}(x, t)$, because of equation (64), is constrained by the orthogonality condition

$$\langle \hat{z}, \Sigma \hat{z} \rangle = 0. \tag{67}$$

Consider now the differential equations (37) and insert in them expression (65). Since k is of course an arbitrary complex variable, we obtain the relations

$$Q = Q^{(0)} + \rho[\sigma, \hat{P}]\Sigma, \tag{68a}$$

$$W = W^{(0)} + \rho[\{\sigma, \hat{P}\}\Sigma, C^{(1)}], \tag{68b}$$

which give the novel solution Q(x,t), W(x,t) of (4) in terms of the supposedly known solution $Q^{(0)}(x,t)$, $W^{(0)}(x,t)$, the function $\rho(x,t)$ and the projector $\hat{P}(x,t)$. There also follows the relation

$$T(\alpha) - T^{(0)}(\alpha) = 4\gamma \alpha \rho [\sigma, \hat{P}] \Sigma - 2i\gamma \rho [Q^{(0)}, \hat{P}\Sigma] + 2i\gamma \rho^2 \frac{g}{f} \hat{P}\Sigma + 2\rho \sigma [C^{(1)}, \sigma \hat{P}\Sigma],$$
(69)

which is not an independent relation but it is instrumental in deriving formula (71) displayed below. In addition, one obtains two following differential equations, one with respect to x,

$$(\rho \hat{P})_x = \rho \left(X^{(0)}(\alpha) \hat{P} + \hat{P} X^{(0)\dagger}(\alpha) - \rho \frac{g}{f} \hat{P} \right), \tag{70}$$

and one with respect to t,

$$(\rho \hat{P})_t = \rho \left(T^{(0)}(\alpha) \hat{P} + \hat{P} T^{(0)\dagger}(\alpha) - 4\alpha \gamma \rho \frac{g}{f} \hat{P} + 2i\gamma \rho \frac{h}{f} \hat{P} - 2\rho \frac{m}{f} \hat{P} \right). \tag{71}$$

In these last three equations we have conveniently introduced the functions f(x, t), g(x, t), h(x, t) and m(x, t) according to the definitions

$$f = \langle \hat{z}, \hat{z} \rangle, \qquad g = \langle \hat{z}, S\hat{z} \rangle, \qquad h = \langle \hat{z}, \Sigma Q^{(0)} \hat{z} \rangle, \qquad m = \langle \hat{z}, \Sigma C^{(1)} \hat{z} \rangle.$$
 (72)

We have obtained the right-hand side of the differential equation (71) by using relation (69). At this point we differentiate the eigenvalue equation (see (66))

$$\hat{P}\hat{z} = \hat{z}.\tag{73}$$

When this is done with respect to x, one obtains the equation

$$\hat{P}[\hat{z}_x + X^{(0)}(\alpha)^{\dagger} \hat{z}] = \hat{z}_x - X^{(0)}(\alpha)\hat{z} + \left(\frac{\rho_x}{\rho} + \rho \frac{g}{f}\right)\hat{z},\tag{74}$$

which implies that its right-hand side is proportional to the vector \hat{z} ,

$$\hat{z}_x - X^{(0)}(\alpha)\hat{z} + \left(\frac{\rho_x}{\rho} + \rho \frac{g}{f}\right)\hat{z} = \mu \hat{z}. \tag{75}$$

On the other hand, the vector \hat{z} , which has been introduced through the diadic expression (66), is defined only modulo a factor scalar function, and therefore, by taking advantage of this freedom, one can ask that

$$\mu = \frac{\rho_x}{\rho} + \rho \frac{g}{f},\tag{76}$$

with the implication that the vector \hat{z} satisfies the differential equation

$$\hat{z}_x = X^{(0)}(\alpha)\hat{z}. \tag{77}$$

We also observe that the function μ , via its own definition

$$\hat{P}[\hat{z}_x + X^{(0)}(\alpha)^{\dagger}\hat{z}] = \mu \hat{z},\tag{78}$$

takes the following expression:

$$\mu = \frac{1}{f} \langle \hat{z}, \hat{z}_x + X^{(0)}(\alpha)^{\dagger} \hat{z} \rangle = \frac{1}{f} (\langle \hat{z}, \hat{z}_x \rangle + \langle \hat{z}_x, \hat{z} \rangle) = \frac{f_x}{f}, \tag{79}$$

where we have taken into account equation (77) and the definition of f (72). It is now readily found that combining this equation with relation (76) entails that the function $\rho(x, t)$ satisfies the following differential equation:

$$\left(\frac{f}{\rho}\right)_{x} = g. \tag{80}$$

Let us now differentiate the eigenvalue equation (73) with respect to t. Following the same strategy as before, one concludes that the vector \hat{z} satisfies the differential equation

$$\hat{z}_t = T^{(0)}(\alpha)\hat{z},\tag{81}$$

while the following relations hold true:

$$\hat{P}[\hat{z}_t + T^{(0)}(\alpha)^{\dagger} \hat{z}] = \nu \hat{z},\tag{82}$$

$$v = \frac{\rho_t}{\rho} + 2\frac{\rho}{f}(2\alpha\gamma g - i\gamma h + m). \tag{83}$$

Again, equation (82) implies the expression

$$\nu = \frac{1}{f} \langle \hat{z}, \hat{z}_t + T^{(0)}(\alpha)^{\dagger} \hat{z} \rangle = \frac{1}{f} (\langle \hat{z}, \hat{z}_t \rangle + \langle \hat{z}_t, \hat{z} \rangle) = \frac{f_t}{f}, \tag{84}$$

which, together with (83), yields the differential equation

$$\left(\frac{f}{\rho}\right)_{t} = 2(2\alpha\gamma g - i\gamma h + m) \tag{85}$$

for the function $\rho(x, t)$ with respect to the variable t. Since the vector $\hat{z}(x, t)$ satisfies the two (compatible) differential equations (77) and (81), its general expression is

$$\hat{z}(x,t) = \psi^{(0)}(x,t,\alpha)\hat{z}_0,\tag{86}$$

where \hat{z}_0 is an arbitrary constant $(N^{(+)} + N^{(-)})$ -dimensional vector. The two differential equations (80) and (85), which are also compatible with each other (the proof is straightforward and it is not reported here), can be easily integrated since the functions f, g, h and m are known (see (72)). The expression of their general solution then reads

$$\rho(x,t) = \frac{f(x,t)}{\left\{\frac{f(x_0,t_0)}{\rho(x_0,t_0)} + 2\int_{t_0}^t dt' [2\alpha\gamma g(x_0,t') - i\gamma h(x_0,t') + m(x_0,t')] + \int_{x_0}^x dx' g(x',t)\right\}}, \quad (87)$$

where x_0 , t_0 and $\rho(x_0, t_0)$ are arbitrary real constants.

We conclude that if $Q^{(0)}(x,t)$, $W^{(0)}(x,t)$ and $\psi^{(0)}(x,t,k)$ are known, the explicit expressions of $\rho(x,t)$ and $\hat{z}(x,t)$ given above yield, via (65) and (66), the DDT matrix and therefore the new solution Q(x,t), W(x,t) through (68a)–(68b). As in the previous case, the corresponding formulae which give the expression of the solutions U(x,t), $W^{(\pm)}(x,t)$ of equations (16a)–(16c) follow from the block structure of Q and W, of Σ , of the projector \hat{P} ,

$$\hat{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{B}^{(+)} & \hat{B}^{\dagger} \\ \hat{B} & \hat{B}^{(-)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{88}$$

and of the vector \hat{z} ,

$$\hat{z} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{z}^{(+)} \\ \hat{z}^{(-)} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{89}$$

The relevant relations then read

$$U = U^{(0)} - 2\rho \hat{B} S^{(+)}, \tag{90a}$$

$$W^{(+)} = W^{(0)(+)} - 2\rho[C^{(1)(+)}, \hat{B}^{(+)}S^{(+)}], \tag{90b}$$

$$W^{(-)} = W^{(0)(-)} - 2\rho[C^{(1)(-)}, \hat{B}^{(-)}S^{(-)}], \tag{90c}$$

or, equivalently (see(66), (88) and (89)) and more explicitly,

$$U = U^{(0)} - 2\rho \frac{\hat{z}^{(-)}\hat{z}^{(+)\dagger}S^{(+)}}{\langle \hat{z}^{(+)}, \hat{z}^{(+)} \rangle + \langle \hat{z}^{(-)}, \hat{z}^{(-)} \rangle}, \tag{91a}$$

$$W^{(+)} = W^{(0)(+)} - 2\rho \frac{(C^{(1)(+)}\hat{z}^{(+)}\hat{z}^{(+)}\hat{z}^{(+)} + \hat{z}^{(+)}\hat{z}^{(+)}\hat{z}^{(+)} + \hat{z}^{(+)}C^{(1)(+)})}{\langle \hat{z}^{(+)}, \hat{z}^{(+)} \rangle + \langle \hat{z}^{(-)}, \hat{z}^{(-)} \rangle}, \tag{91b}$$

$$W^{(-)} = W^{(0)(-)} - 2\rho \frac{(C^{(1)(-)}\hat{z}^{(-)}\hat{z}^{(-)}\hat{z}^{(-)} + S^{(-)} - \hat{z}^{(-)}\hat{z}^{(-)} + S^{(-)}C^{(1)(-)})}{\langle \hat{z}^{(+)}, \hat{z}^{(+)} \rangle + \langle \hat{z}^{(-)}, \hat{z}^{(-)} \rangle}, \tag{91c}$$

where the expression of $\rho = \rho(x, t)$ is given by (87) with (see (72))

$$f(x,t) = \langle \hat{z}^{(+)}, \hat{z}^{(+)} \rangle + \langle \hat{z}^{(-)}, \hat{z}^{(-)} \rangle,$$

$$g(x,t) = \langle \hat{z}^{(+)}, S^{(+)} \hat{z}^{(+)} \rangle + \langle \hat{z}^{(-)}, S^{(-)} \hat{z}^{(-)} \rangle,$$

$$h(x,t) = \langle \hat{z}^{(+)}, U^{(0)\dagger} S^{(-)} \hat{z}^{(-)} \rangle - \langle \hat{z}^{(-)}, S^{(-)} U^{(0)} \hat{z}^{(+)} \rangle,$$

$$m(x,t) = \langle \hat{z}^{(+)}, S^{(+)} C^{(1)(+)} \hat{z}^{(+)} \rangle - \langle \hat{z}^{(-)}, S^{(-)} C^{(1)(-)} \hat{z}^{(-)} \rangle.$$

$$(92)$$

We end this section noticing that the explicit formulae derived here and in the previous subsection 3.1 are meant to serve as the main tools to construct soliton- and, by repeated application of DDTs, multisoliton-solutions of the matrix equations (16a)–(16c). However, these formulae have been obtained by algebra and local integration of differential equations. Therefore, the two important properties of the solutions they yield, namely their boundary values and their boundedness, are left out of our present discussion. These two issues, which are of basic relevance in applications, will be taken up in a following paper [28] where explicit expressions of solutions will be displayed and investigated.

4. Conclusions and remarks

In this paper, we have set up the formalism of the Darboux-dressing transform (DDT) to construct a new solution of the coupled matrix nonlinear integrable evolution PDEs (4),

$$Q_t = [C^{(0)}, Q] + \sigma[C^{(1)}, Q_x] - \sigma\{Q, W\} - i\gamma\sigma(Q_{xx} - 2Q^3),$$

$$W_x = [C^{(1)}, Q^2],$$

from a given known solution of the same equations. The choice of this system of equations, which has been first introduced in [24], is mainly motivated by the fact that it captures several interesting models of dispersive propagation of multicomponent waves in weakly nonlinear media. In fact, it should be pointed out that setting $C^{(1)} = c\sigma$ implies that the linear terms $[C^{(0)}, Q]$ and $\sigma[C^{(1)}, Q_x]$ can be both transformed away with the consequence that W = 0, thereby obtaining the well-known matrix NLS-type equation

$$Q_t = -\mathrm{i}\gamma\sigma(Q_{xx} - 2Q^3),$$

which originates well-known VNLS equations. In contrast, whenever $C^{(1)}$ is a generic matrix, it is precisely the noncommutative character of the matrix coefficients $C^{(0)}$ and $C^{(1)}$ which

introduces the interesting phenomenology of boomerons, i.e., solitons which have different asymptotic velocities at $t = +\infty$ and $t = -\infty$. These special soliton solutions were first introduced a long time ago in connection with the hierarchy of the matrix Korteweg-de Vries equation, but recently boomerons appeared again in geometry and optics and are attracting a growing interest in applications. Moreover and interestingly enough, the matrix evolution equation given above, which is the most general second-order differential equation generated by the Lax pair (9), includes the simpler class of evolution equations corresponding to the choice $\gamma = 0$ which turns out to be nonlinear and nontrivial. This class features first derivatives with respect to the space variable x (rather than second derivatives as is the case of NLS-type equations), and the simplest system of equations of this type coincides with the standard equations describing the resonant interaction of three waves, yet their solutions feature, somewhat unexpectedly, the boomeronic or trapponic behaviour. This is one instance of wave equations which are both in our class and of well-known applicative relevance. We trust that the interested reader will be attracted by looking for other wave equations via reduction of our 'mother' system (4) either by exploring the examples of such equations reported in [24], or by engaging himself/herself in deriving new equations.

The class of evolution equations we have chosen contains in fact, as reduced cases, systems of wave equations which, because of their dispersion (mainly of Schrödinger-type) and/or because of their nonlinearities, are, or promise to be, interesting models of applicative importance and it is with applications in mind that the DDT technique has been investigated and presented here almost as an algorithm to find explicit solutions. In its simplest version, this technique goes via a Darboux transformation of the matrix solution of the Lax equations, which introduces just one pole in the complex plane of the spectral variable. Though this method is known, we show that new algorithmic features arise if the pole is on the real axis. This case, on the other hand, is the one which matters in the construction of solutions with nonvanishing boundary values (as for dark solitons). The relation between the reality of the pole and the boundary values, together with explicit solutions, will be discussed in a separate paper.

Acknowledgments

The work of SL was partially supported by the EU GIFT project (NEST Adventure Project no 5006). Partial financial support has been provided also by the Italian Ministry of Education and Research (MIUR) within the PRIN project SINTESI.

References

- Gardner C S, Greene J M, Kruskal M D and Miura R M 1967 Method for solving the Korteweg-de Vries equation Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 1095-7
- [2] Calogero F and Degasperis A 1982 Spectral Transform and Solitons vol 1 (Amsterdam: North-Holland)
- [3] Ablowitz M J and Clarkson P A 1991 Solitons Nonlinear Evolution Equation and Inverse Scattering Transform (London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series vol 149) (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [4] Zakharov V E and Shabat A B 1971 Exact theory of two-dimensional self-focussing and one dimensional self-modulation of waves in nonlinear media *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **61** 118–34 (Russian)
 - Zakharov V E and Shabat A B 1972 Exact theory of two-dimensional self-focussing and one dimensional self-modulation of waves in nonlinear media Sov. Phys.—JEPT 34 62–9 (Engl. Transl.)
- [5] Calogero F 1991 Why are certain nonlinear PDEs both widely applicable and integrable? What is Integrability? ed V E Zakharov (Berlin: Springer) pp 1–62
- [6] Degasperis A, Manakov S V and Santini P M 1997 Multiple-scale perturbation beyond the nonlinear Schrödinger equation *Physica* D 100 187–211

- [7] Zakharov V E and Shabat A B 1973 Interaction between solitons in a stable medium Sov. Phys.—JEPT 37 823–8 (Engl. Transl.)
- [8] McLauglhin D W 1993 Whiskered Tori for NLS equations *Important Developments in Soliton Theory* ed A S Fokas and V E Zakharov (Berlin: Springer)
- [9] Degasperis A 1998 Solitons Am. J. Phys. 66 486-97
- [10] Manakov S V 1973 On the theory of two-dimensional stationary self-focusing of electromagnetics waves Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 65 505–16 (Russian)
 - Manakov S V 1974 On the theory of two-dimensional stationary self-focusing of electromagnetics waves *Sov. Phys.—JEPT* **38** 248–53 (Engl. Transl.)
- [11] Zakharov V E and Shulmann E I 1982 To the integrability of the system of two coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations Physica D 4 270–4
- [12] Faddeev L D and Takhtajan L A 1986 Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons (Moscow: Nauka) (in Russian)
 - Faddeev L D and Takhtajan L A 1987 Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics (Berlin: Springer) (Engl. Transl.)
- [13] Ablowitz M J, Biondini G and Prinari B 2006 Inverse scattering transform for the vector nonlinear Schroedinger equation with nonvanishing boundary conditions J. Math. Phys. 47 063508, 1–33
- [14] Elgin J N, Enolskii V Z and Its A R 2005 Effective integration of the nonlinear vector Schrödinger equation Preprint math-ph/2F0501072
- [15] Kivshar Y S and Turitsyn S K 1993 Vector dark solitons Opt. Lett. 18 337-9
- [16] Radhakrishnan R and Lakshmanan M 1995 Bright and dark soliton solutions to coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28 2683
- [17] Sheppard A P and Kivshar Y S 1997 Polarized dark solitons in isotropic Kerr media Phys. Rev. E 55 4773–82
- [18] Nakeeran K 2001 Exact dark soliton solutions for a family of N-coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations in optical fiber media Phys. Rev. E 64 046611
- [19] Zakharov V E, Manakov S V, Novikov S P and Pitajevski L P 1980 The Theory of Solitons: The Inverse Problem Method (Moscow: Nauka) (in Russian)
- [20] Matveev V B and Salle M A 1991 Darboux Transformations and Solitons (Berlin: Springer)
- [21] Rogers C and Schief W K 2002 Bäcklund and Darboux Transformations (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [22] Mañas M 1996 Darboux transformations for nonlinear Schrödinger equations J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 29 7721–37
- [23] Degasperis A and Lombardo S 2006 Exact solutions of the 3-wave resonant interaction equation *Physica* D 214 157-68
- [24] Calogero F and Degasperis A 2004 New integrable equations of nonlinear Schrödinger type Stud. Appl. Math. 113 91–137
- [25] Calogero F and Degasperis A 2006 New integrable PDEs of boomeronic type J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 8349-76
- [26] Calogero F and Degasperis A 2005 Novel solution of the system describing the resonant interaction of three waves *Physica* D 200 242–56
- [27] Degasperis A, Conforti M, Baronio F and Wabnitz S 2006 Stable control of pulse speed in parametric three-wave solitons Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 1–4 093901
- [28] Degasperis A and Lombardo S 2007 Multicomponent integrable wave equations: II. Soliton solutions in preparation
- [29] Calogero F and Degasperis A 1976 Coupled nonlinear evolution equations solvable via the inverse spectral transform and solitons that come back: the boomeron Lett. Nuovo Cimento 16 425–33
- [30] Degasperis A 1978 Solitons, boomerons, trappons Nonlinear Evolution Equations Solvable by the Spectral Transform ed F Calogero (London: Pitman) pp 97–126
- [31] Degasperis A, Rogers C and Schief W 2002 Isothermic surfaces generated by Bäcklund transformations: boomeron and zoomeron connections Stud. Appl. Math. 109 39–65
- [32] Mantsyzov B I 2005 Optical zoomeron as a result of beatings of the internal modes of a Bragg soliton JETP Lett. 82 253–8
- [33] Fordy A P and Kulish P P 1983 Nonlinear Schrödinger equations and simple Lie algebras Commun. Math. Phys. 89 427–43
- [34] Khukhunashvili V Z 1989 Integrability of a system of two nonlinear Schrödinger equations *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* 79 180–4 (in Russian)
 - Khukhunashvili V Z 1989 Integrability of a system of two nonlinear Schrödinger equations *Theor. Math. Phys.* **79** 467–9 (Engl. Transl.)